

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,558	07/02/2001	Jerzy Miernik	062891.0565	2727
7.	590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
Barton E. Showalter			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M	
Baker Botts L.L.P. Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Ross Avenue			2683	
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/898,558	MIERNIK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specifie Failure to reply within the set o	F THIS COMMUNICATION. ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ed above, the maximum statutory period w r extended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 07 Ma	<u>arch 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FIN	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10</u> 7) Claim(s) is	<u>,13-16,19-22</u> is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers			·			
9)☐ The specification i	s objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) The drawing(s) file	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not r	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the supplication	e * c) None of: pies of the priority documents pies of the priority documents he certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National Stage			
			-			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited		4) Interview Summary				
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3-7-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 1. A new rejection is found attached the examiner notes that claim 25 was allowed based on the fact that it provides a more detailed disclosure when compared to claims 1, 7, 13 and 19. These claims are written too broadly and hence can be broadly interpreted.
- 2. The primary reason(s) for the examiner's rejection is based on the teachings of Khan along with his disclosure of ATM technology. ATM uses service classes and statistical multiplexing to utilize any/all bandwidth as required. Hence multiple service classes (ie. First, second and third service classes as disclosed by the applicant) can have their data spread across their "allocated bandwidth" if another user has data to send and their bandwidth is idle or under utilized. ATM further has the ability to indiscriminately transmit voice and data (ie. bursty and non-bursty traffic) over the total bandwidth and reads on the applicant's claims as well.
- 3. After further review, a more favorable outcome may occur if the un-allowed independent claims are amended with their three dependent eg. for independent claim 1, add the following:
- "....wherein the second and third service classes comprise a lower priority than the first service class and wherein the unused voice bandwidth is used to accommodate the bandwidth requirement before using the unused bandwidth allocated to the third service (class)....."

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16, 19-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khan et al. US 6,400,954 and further in view of Fan et al. US 6,408,005 and Chiu et al. US 6,744,767 (hereafter Khan and Fan and Chiu).

As per claim 1, Khan teaches a method for supporting a plurality of service classes in a wireless/ATM network having sufficient and/or less sufficient resources/bandwidth based on their respective service class (C2, L65 to C3, L11, and C3, L43-51 and figures 1-5) which reads on providing bandwidth to users based on "class" and sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising (C2, L65 to C3, L11 teaches "service classes, ATM and statistical multiplexing" which inherently provides means for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes)

but is silent on

Transmitting traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second third service class, and

transmitting traffic for a first service class in unused bandwidth remaining in a second service class in cases where a bandwidth requirement for the traffic is not met by using the unused bandwidth allocated to the third service class.

The examiner notes that Khan's disclosure of adapting the resources (reads on Quality of Service (QoS) which is known in the art as dynamically adapting bandwidth based on class of service and data throughput requirements. Further to this point is statistical multiplexing which can adapt instantaneously how much bandwidth is provided to a user. The examiner also points out that QoS systems view the total

Art Unit: 2683

bandwidth as a "pool" that can be divided in real-time depending upon network conditions and user needs, hence multiple service classes (eg. first, second, third, etc.) are supported. Lastly, wireless ATM is known in the art and Khan and Fan (below) both disclose use of their inventions in ATM networks.

Fan teachs a dynamic rate controller scheduler (DRC scheduler, figure 3, #30) that achieves high utilization by guaranteeing a minimum throughput and fairly distributes unused bandwidth (abstract, figure 9 and C5, L38-63). Fan's teaching thus allows unused bandwidth from any service class to be given to another service class which reads on the above limitations that Khan is silent on. Further to this point is **Chiu**, who teaches a different embodiment which provisions QoS on an IP network whereby a three-class differentiated service scheme uses a resource management system and schedule optimizer to enable optimal use of bandwidth and buffer resources along the links in the network (abstract) thus allowing each of the classes to optimally reach predetermined QoS criteria (which is interpreted as bandwidth allocation based on network conditions and user needs).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that unused bandwidth can be used by any other service class(es) (eg. first/third), to provide means for optimal throughput by utilizing unused bandwidth.

As per claim 2, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 1 **but is silent on** wherein the third service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC scheduler allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

Art Unit: 2683

As per claim 3, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein the second service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "... the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers..").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 4, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein the second class comprises the lowest priority that has unused bandwidth. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates the unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that any lowest class that has unused bandwidth can be used, to provide means for any user class' unused bandwidth to be allocated to another when required and available.

As per **claim** 7, Khan teaches a system for supporting a plurality of service classes in a wireless/ATM network having sufficient and/or less sufficient resources/bandwidth based on their respective service class (C2, L65 to C3, L11, C3, L43-51 and figures 1-5) which reads on providing bandwidth to users based on "class" and sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising (C2, L65 to C3, L11 teaches "service classes, ATM and statistical multiplexing" which inherently provides means for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes)

but is silent on

means for transmitting traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, and

means for, after transmitting traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, transmitting traffic for a third service class in unused bandwidth remaining in the second service class.

The examiner notes that Khan's disclosure of adapting the resources reads on Quality of Service (QoS) which is known in the art as dynamically adapting bandwidth based on class of service and data throughput requirements. Further to this point is statistical multiplexing which can adapt instantaneously how much bandwidth is provided to a user. Lastly, wireless ATM is known in the art and Khan and Fan (below) both disclose use of their inventions in ATM networks.

Fan teachs means (eg. a dynamic rate controller scheduler, figure 3, #30) that achieves high utilization by guaranteeing a minimum throughput and fairly distributes unused bandwidth (abstract, figure 9 and C5, L38-63). Fan's teaching thus allows unused bandwidth from any service class to be given to another service class which reads on the above limitations that Khan is silent on. Further to this point is **Chiu**, who teaches a different embodiment which provisions QoS on an IP network whereby a three-class differentiated service scheme uses a resource management system and schedule optimizer to enable optimal use of bandwidth and buffer resources along the links in the network (abstract) thus allowing each of the classes to optimally reach predetermined QoS criteria (which is interpreted as bandwidth allocation based on network conditions and user needs).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that unused bandwidth can be used by any other service class(es) (eg. first/third), to provide means for optimal throughput by utilizing unused bandwidth.

Art Unit: 2683

As per claim 8, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 7 but is silent on wherein the third service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "... the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 9, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 7 but is silent on wherein the second service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "... the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers..").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 10, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 7 but is silent on wherein the second class comprises the lowest priority that has unused bandwidth. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates the unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

Art Unit: 2683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that any lowest class that has unused bandwidth can be used, to provide means for any user class' unused bandwidth to be allocated to another when required and available.

As per **claim 13**, Khan teaches a system for supporting a plurality of service classes in a wireless/ATM network having sufficient and/or less sufficient resources/bandwidth based on their respective service class (C2, L65 to C3, L11, C3, L43-51 and figures 1-5) which reads on providing bandwidth to users based on "class" and sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising (C2, L65 to C3, L11 teaches "service classes, ATM and statistical multiplexing" which inherently provides means for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes)

but is silent on

Logic encoded into media for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising:

transmit traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, and

after transmitting traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, transmitting traffic for a third service class in unused bandwidth remaining in the second service class.

The examiner notes that Khan's disclosure of adapting the resources reads on Quality of Service (QoS) which is known in the art as dynamically adapting bandwidth based on class of service and data throughput requirements. Further to this point is statistical multiplexing which can adapt instantaneously how much bandwidth is provided to a user. Lastly, wireless ATM is known in the art and Khan and Fan (below) both disclose use of their inventions in ATM networks.

Fan teachs a dynamic rate controller scheduler (figure 3, #30) comprising logic encoded into media/hardware/software (C8, L10 to C9, L16) that achieves high utilization by guaranteeing a minimum throughput and fairly distributes unused

Art Unit: 2683

bandwidth (abstract, figure 9 and C5, L38-63). Fan's teaching thus allows unused bandwidth from any service class to be given to another service class which reads on the above limitations that Khan is silent on. Further to this point is Chiu, who teaches a different embodiment which provisions QoS on an IP network whereby a three-class differentiated service scheme uses a resource management system and schedule optimizer to enable optimal use of bandwidth and buffer resources along the links in the network (abstract) thus allowing each of the classes to optimally reach predetermined QoS criteria (which is interpreted as bandwidth allocation based on network conditions and user needs).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that unused bandwidth can be used by any other service class(es) (eg. first/third), to provide means for optimal throughput by utilizing unused bandwidth.

As per claim 14, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 13 but is silent on wherein the third service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 15, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 13 but is silent on wherein the second service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches

Art Unit: 2683

"...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers..").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 16, Khan in view of Fan/Chiu teaches claim 13 but is silent on wherein the second class comprises the lowest priority that has unused bandwidth. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates the unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that any lowest class that has unused bandwidth can be used, to provide means for any user class' unused bandwidth to be allocated to another when required and available.

As per claim 19, Khan teaches a system for supporting a plurality of service classes in a wireless/ATM network having sufficient and/or less sufficient resources/bandwidth based on their respective service class (C2, L65 to C3, L11, C3, L43-51 and figures 1-5) which reads on providing bandwidth to users based on "class"

and sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising (C2, L65 to C3, L11 teaches "service classes, ATM and statistical multiplexing" which inherently provides means for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes)

but is silent on

Logic encoded into media for sharing over-allocated bandwidth between service classes comprising:

Art Unit: 2683

transmit traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, and

after transmitting traffic for a first service class in excess of bandwidth allocated to the first service class using unused bandwidth allocated to a second class, transmitting traffic for the first service class in unused bandwidth remaining in the third service class.

The examiner notes that Khan's disclosure of adapting the resources reads on Quality of Service (QoS) which is known in the art as dynamically adapting bandwidth based on class of service and data throughput requirements. Further to this point is statistical multiplexing which can adapt instantaneously how much bandwidth is provided to a user. Lastly, wireless ATM is known in the art and Khan and Fan (below) both disclose use of their inventions in ATM networks.

Fan teachs a dynamic rate controller scheduler (figure 3, #30) comprising logic encoded into media/hardware/software (C8, L10 to C9, L16) that achieves high utilization by guaranteeing a minimum throughput and fairly distributes unused bandwidth (abstract, figure 9 and C5, L38-63). Fan's teaching thus allows unused bandwidth from any service class to be given to any other service class which reads on the above limitations that Khan is silent on – eg. any service class needing bandwidth can take from any other service class' bandwidth that is not being used.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that unused bandwidth can be used by <u>any other service class(es)</u> (eg. first/third), to provide means for optimal throughput by utilizing unused bandwidth.

As per claim 20, Khan in view of Fan teaches claim 19 but is silent on wherein the third service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

Art Unit: 2683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 21, Khan in view of Fan teaches claim 19 but is silent on wherein the second service class comprises a lower priority than the first service class. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates unused bandwidth indiscriminately and can provide said unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers..").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that the unused bandwidth can be assigned to lower priority user(s), to provide means for any user class to be given any unused bandwidth when required and available.

As per claim 22, Khan in view of Fan teaches claim 19 but is silent on wherein the second class comprises the lowest priority that has unused bandwidth. Fan teaches that the DRC allocates the unused bandwidth to any user/class of service that requires it (abstract teaches "...the distribution of unused bandwidth can be assigned flexibly, ie. need not be shared in proportion to the minimum throughput guarantees as in weighted fair share schedulers).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Khan, such that any lowest class that has unused bandwidth can be used, to provide means for any user class' unused bandwidth to be allocated to another when required and available.

Art Unit: 2683

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claim 25 allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta PRIMARY EXAMINER 3-28-2005

